Ridgelield, WA

WA 6906 5a)
12.1.86
DEC ! 1986

RCRA Docket No. 1085-09-26-3008P

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER

HEARINGS CLERK
EPA - REGION X



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
Region 10
1200 Sixth Avenue
Seattle, Washington 98101

A Complaint and Compliance Order was issued against the

In full and complete settlement of the matters alleged

Respondent, Pacific Wood Treating Corporation ("PWT"), in this

action, pursuant to Section 3008 of the Resource Conversation and

and Compliance Order was issued on September 20, 1985, and alleged

Recovery Act ("RCRA"), 42 U.S.C. § 6928, et seq. The Complaint

violations of RCRA and accompanying regulations, as adopted by

10 IN THE MATTER OF:

Environmental Protection Agency,

Complainant,

v.

Pacific Wood Treating Corporation,

Respondent.

the state of Washington.

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND ORDER - Page 1

in the September 30, 1985 Complaint and Compliance Order, and

3058079

Form OBD-183 12-8-76 DOJ

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND ORDER - Page 2

pursuant to 40 CFR § 22.18, the following Consent Agreement and Final Order is agreed to by all parties, and entered against Respondent Pacific Wood Treating Corporation.

I. FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Respondent owns and operates the Ridgefield Brick and Tile land disposal site, located at 3510 N.W. 289th Street, Ridgefield, Washington. The site is a hazardous waste landfill consisting of approximately three quarters of an acre, on the outskirts of Ridgefield, Washington. In this document, the site will be referred to as the PWT/RBT facility or the landfill.
- 2. Respondent submitted a Part A hazardous waste permit application for the PWT/RBT facility to EPA on May 25, 1983. The application stated that hazardous waste disposed in the landfill was ash from the PWT wood-waste boiler plant contaminated with ash from incinerated hazardous waste K001 (bottom sediment sludge from the treatment of wastewaters from woodpreserving processes that use creosote and/or pentachlorophenol), and through system upset D004 (arsenic). The hazardous waste was first received at the landfill in 1979, and was last received on January 25, 1983.
- 3. The PWT/RBT facility is subject to the provisions of RCRA, including the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984, and the delegated state of Washington program, and to applicable regulations found at WAC 173-303, including the interim status

standards found at WAC 173-303-400. Those standards incorporate by reference the interim status standards of 40 CFR Part 265, Subpart F through R.

- 4. Closure of the PWT/RBT facility was done by the Respondent between September 15, 1983 and January 16, 1984, under supervision of the Washington State Department of Ecology ("Ecology"), and pursuant to a closure plan submitted to Ecology by the Respondent. That closure did not provide for a ground-water monitoring system which met the requirements of 40 CFR Part 265, Subpart F for landfills. The plan also did not include provisions which addressed the regulatory requirements for post-closure care or post-closure financial assurance.
- 5. Leachate from the landfill, a hazardous and dangerous waste by definition, is discharged from the toe drain at the landfill. This waste is not managed in accordance with the generator requirements of 40 CFR Part 262.12(c), nor does Respondent have a permit to dispose of hazardous waste on site as required by 40 CFR § 270.1(c).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 6. Based upon the foregoing, Respondent is found to be in violation of the following federal regulations, which are incorporated by reference in WAC 173-303-400:
- a. 40 CFR § 262.10(b), regarding recordkeeping, and/or the land disposal requirements of 40 CFR Parts 264, 265 or CONSENT AGREEMENT AND ORDER Page 3

1

6

8

9

7

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

270, regarding the management of leachate collected from the landfill (see the comment following 40 CFR § 265.310(d)(2) regarding the treatment of leachate);

- 40 CFR §§ 265.90-94 and 265.310(b), which requires any land disposal facility to maintain a groundwater monitoring system in full compliance with 40 CFR Part 265, Subpart F during the closure and post-closure period for a landfill;
- 40 CFR § 265.145, which requires the establishment of documents demonstrating compliance with the financial assurance requirements for post-closure care of the landfill.

AGREEMENT

- Respondent admits the jurisdictional allegations of 7. this Agreement, and admits the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Agreement.
- Respondent acknowledges the issuance of the final 8. order attached to this Agreement, including the assessment of civil penalties therein. Respondent further acknowledges that any payment of any penalties pursuant to this Agreement and Final Order does not relieve the Respondent from its legal duty to comply with the requirements of the Final Order, nor would the payment of penalties prevent the enforcement of the Compliance Order Activities of this Final Order, nor would the payment of the assessed penalties relieve it of its duty to comply with applicable provisions of RCRA and applicable provisions of state

of Washington laws and regulations governing the disposal of hazardous (dangerous) wastes.

9. Respondent waives any right to a hearing on, or appeal from this Agreement and Final Order. However, the parties recognize and agree that Respondent does not waive any rights it may have with respect to future application of EPA or state regulations outside of this Consent Agreement and Order.

DATED: 5 November 1976 To Man O. Man

Warney For Respondent Pacific Wood

Treating Corporation

DATED: 10/30/86

For Complainant Environmental Protection Agency

FINAL ORDER

1. Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, which are incorporated herein by reference, Respondent Pacific Wood Treating Corporation is hereby found in violation of the regulatory provisions cited above in paragraph 6.

PENALTY ASSESSMENT

2. Respondent is assessed a civil penalty of fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000) for these violations. No interest shall be charged on this amount.

28 CONGINE ACREMENT AND ORDER

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND ORDER - Page 5

 3. The payment of the assessed penalty is suspended and deferred to October 30, 1987, at which time they shall become due and payable without further notice or proceedings UNLESS the activities described in paragraphs 5 through 7 are performed in a timely fashion. If the activities described in paragraphs 5 through 7 are performed in a timely fashion, the assessed penalty shall be wholly excused automatically without further notice and proceedings.

4. The assessed penalty shall become immediately due, notwithstanding paragraph 3, if any of the described activities do not occur on the dates described herein.

COMPLIANCE ORDER ACTIVITIES

- 5. Within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order, Respondent shall submit documentation demonstrating the lawful management and disposal of leachate collected from the landfill known as the Ridgefield Brick and Tile Company (RBT landfill or landfill). This documentation shall demonstrate full compliance with 40 CFR Part 262 or 40 CFR § 261.5(g) through the establishment of procedures and practices for the proper off-site disposal of any leachate produced or collected from the landfill.
- 6. Within ninety (90) days from the date of this Order, the Respondent shall demonstrate compliance with the financial assurance requirements of 40 CFR Part 265, Subpart H, verifying compliance with the post closure requirements for financial

assurance, or, if such compliance is impossible despite the best efforts of the Respondent, shall submit appropriate financial records and a proposed schedule for the establishment of a trust fund to ensure compliance with the Subpart H regulations.

7. Within ninety (90) days of the date of this order, the Respondent shall submit a 40 CFR Part 265, Subpart G closure plan and schedule to EPA which shall address the installation of a groundwater monitoring system at the landfill which is in compliance with 40 CFR Part 265, Subpart F, and which would provide sufficient hydrogeological information to satisfy the requirements of 40 CFR § 270.14(c). This plan shall include provisions which will ensure that the system shall be monitored for all applicable parameters of 40 CFR §§ 265.92 and 93 and pentachlorophenol and arsenic on a quarterly basis. The plan shall also provide for a soil sampling plan to determine whether any releases of hazardous or dangerous waste from the former leachate collection system has occurred. This closure plan shall be reviewed and approved by EPA and implemented by Respondent in accordance with the procedures of 40 CFR Part 265.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

8. For each requirement described in paragraphs 5 through 7, the Respondent shall file a signed statement which verifies the extent to which the conditions specified have or have not been met or fulfilled. These signed statements shall be

mailed to EPA within two business days of the due date described in the paragraphs.

- By deferring penalties herein, the burden of proving that payment of those penalties remains deferred and suspended is hereby placed upon the Respondent.
- By written submission of a stipulation by both parties, any date established in this Order may be modified.
- All written submissions pursuant to this Order shall be made to:

Kenneth Feigner, Chief Waste Management Branch, M/S 533 Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Sixth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98101

This Order shall terminate upon the approval of a delisting petition by EPA at any time prior to the completion of the Order's provisions.

IT IS SO ORDERED, this 2/ day of Marine, 1986.

Charles E. Findley, Director Hazardous Waste Division

EPA Region 10

28

U.S. VIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGE REGION 10

1200 SIXTH AVENUE SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101

HEARINGS CLERK EPA - REGION X



November 26, 1986

REPLY TO M/S 613 ATTN OF

William D. Maer, Attorney Heller, Ehrman, White & McAuliffe 4100 First Interstate Center 999 Third Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104-4011

Pacific Wood Treating Corporation Docket No. 1085-09-26-3008P

Dear Mr. Maer:

Enclosed is a copy of the signed consent agreement and final order for this case. The original was filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk on November 24, 1986, and a copy was sent to Judge Nissen.

Please implement the agreement and order in strict accordance with the schedules as written. Any failure to implement the agreement will result in enforcement action, including assessment of the deferred penalties.

If you have further comments or questions on this matter, please telephone me at (206) 442-1191.

Sincerely,

D. Henry Elsen

Assistant Regional Counsel

Enclosure

cc (w/encl.): Judge Nissen

PACIFIC WOOD TREATING CORP.

1085-09-26-3008P (RCRA)

Attorney: Henry Elsen Tech.Contact:

File #3	Tech.Contact:	
DOCUMENT	DATE	DATE REC'D
From ALJ Spencer T. Nissen OPINION & ORDER DENYING MOTION TO DISMISS or, ALTERNATIVELY FOR AN ACCELERATED DECISION	8/27/86	8/28/86
MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR PREHEARING DOCUMENT EXCHANGE AND SUBMISSION OF STIPULATED FACTS	9/19/86	9/23/86
ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME	9/25/86	9/29/86
NOTION TO EXTEND TIME	10/7/86	10/7/86
Frans. ltr. Elsen to William Maer CONSENT AGREEMENT & FINAL ORDER (signed)	11/21/86	11/26/86